DEPARTMENT OF STATE REVENUE

04-20140387.LOF

Page 1

Letter of Findings Number: 04-20140387 Sales/Use Tax For Tax Years 2010 and 2011

NOTICE: IC § 6-8.1-3-3.5 and IC § 4-22-7-7 require the publication of this document in the Indiana Register. This document provides the general public with information about the Department's official position concerning a specific set of facts and issues. This document is effective as of its date of publication and remains in effect until the date it is superseded by the publication of another document in the Indiana Register.

ISSUE

I. Sales Tax - Imposition: Exempt Sales.

Authority: IC § 6-2.5-2-1; IC § 6-2.5-4-1; IC § 6-8.1-5-1; Indiana Dep't. of State Revenue v. Rent-A-Center East, Inc., 963 N.E.2d 463 (Ind. 2012); Lafayette Square Amoco, Inc. v. Indiana Dep't of State Revenue, 867 N.E.2d 289 (Ind. Tax Ct. 2007); Scopelite v. Indiana Dep't of Local Gov't Fin., 939 N.E.2d 1138 (Ind. Tax Ct. 2010); Wendt LLP v. Indiana Dep't of State Revenue, 977 N.E.2d 480 (Ind. Tax Ct. 2012); 45 IAC 2.2-8-12.

Taxpayer protests the Department's proposed assessments on certain sales, claiming some of its customers were exempt from sales tax.

STATEMENT OF FACTS

Taxpayer is a company doing business in Indiana. Taxpayer sells tangible personal property, including fuel, to local customers. In fall 2013, the Indiana Department of Revenue ("Department") conducted a sales/use tax audit for 2010 and 2011 tax years. Both Taxpayer and the Department agreed to utilize a statistical sample method to project the audit result. Pursuant to the audit, the Department determined that Taxpayer did not collect and remit sales tax on various retail transactions, nor did it provide the Department properly executed exemption certificates at the time of the audit. The Department's audit also determined that Taxpayer did not pay sales tax or self assess and remit use tax on certain purchases of tangible personal property, which Taxpayer used for its business. The Department thus imposed additional sales tax and use tax for the tax years at issue.

Taxpayer protested the assessment, claiming that several transactions were exempt from the sales tax. It also stated that it had collected and remitted the sales tax on one transaction (Invoice 8032718), in the amount of \$95.16. Prior to the hearing, the Department reviewed the additional documentation submitted and agreed that Taxpayer is not liable for the sales tax on that transaction (Invoice 8032718). However, Taxpayer continues to protest the remainder of the transactions, asserting that its customers were exempt from the sales tax. An administrative hearing was held. This Letter of Findings ensues and addresses the issue whether Taxpayer is not liable for the sales tax on various transactions because its customers were exempt. Additional facts will be provided as necessary.

I. Sales Tax - Imposition: Exempt Sales.

DISCUSSION

The Department's audit determined that Taxpayer failed to collect sales tax on various retail transactions. Taxpayer argues that it was not responsible for collecting sales tax on sales to customers who are qualified for exemption. Thus, Taxpayer believes that the Department's assessments were overstated.

As a threshold issue, all tax assessments are prima facie evidence that the Department's claim for the unpaid tax is valid; the taxpayer bears the burden of proving that any assessment is incorrect. IC § 6-8.1-5-1(c); Lafayette Square Amoco, Inc. v. Indiana Dep't of State Revenue, 867 N.E.2d 289, 292 (Ind. Tax Ct. 2007); Indiana Dep't of State Revenue v. Rent-A-Center East, Inc., 963 N.E.2d 463, 466 (Ind. 2012). Thus, the taxpayer is required to provide documentation explaining and supporting its challenge that the Department's assessment is wrong. Poorly developed and non-cogent arguments are subject to waiver. Scopelite v. Indiana Dep't of Local Gov't Fin., 939 N.E.2d 1138, 1145 (Ind. Tax Ct. 2010); Wendt LLP v. Indiana Dep't of State Revenue, 977 N.E.2d 480, 486 n.9 (Ind. Tax Ct. 2012).

Indiana imposes an excise tax called "the state gross retail tax" (or "sales tax") on retail transactions made in

Indiana. IC § 6-2.5-2-1(a). A person who acquires property in a retail transaction (a "retail purchaser") is liable for the sales tax on the transaction. IC § 6-2.5-2-1(b).

IC § 6-2.5-2-1 provides:

- (a) An excise tax, known as the state gross retail tax, is imposed on retail transactions made in Indiana.
- (b) The person who acquires property in a retail transaction is liable for the tax on the transaction and, except as otherwise provided in this chapter, shall pay the tax to the retail merchant as a separate added amount to the consideration in the transaction. The retail merchant shall collect the tax as agent for the state.

(Emphasis added).

IC § 6-2.5-4-1 (as in effect for tax years at issue), in relevant part, provides:

- (a) A person is a retail merchant making a retail transaction when he engages in selling at retail.
- (b) A person is engaged in selling at retail when, in the ordinary course of his regularly conducted trade or business. he:
 - (1) acquires tangible personal property for the purpose of resale; and
 - (2) transfers that property to another person for consideration.

. . .

- (e) The gross retail income received from selling at retail is only taxable under this article to the extent that the income represents:
 - (1) the price of the property transferred, without the rendition of any service; and
 - (2) except as provided in subsection (g), any bona fide charges which are made for preparation, fabrication, alteration, modification, finishing, completion, delivery, or other service performed in respect to the property transferred before its transfer and which are separately stated on the transferor's records.

For purposes of this subsection, a transfer is considered to have occurred after delivery of the property to the purchaser.

Additionally, 45 IAC 2.2-8-12, in relevant part, provides:

- (b) Retail merchants are required to collect the sales and use tax on each sale which constitutes a retail transaction unless the merchant can establish that the item purchased will be used by the purchaser for an exempt purpose.
- (c) All retail sales of tangible personal property for delivery in the state of Indiana shall be presumed to be subject to sales or use tax until the contrary is established. The burden of proof is on the buyer and also on the seller unless the seller receives an exemption certificate.
- (d) Unless the seller receives a properly completed exemption certificate the merchant must prove that sales tax was collected and remitted to the state or that the purchaser actually used the item for an exempt purpose. It is, therefore, very important to the seller to obtain an exemption certificate in order to avoid the necessity for such proof. The mere filing of a Registered Retail Merchant Certificate number is not sufficient to relieve the seller of the responsibility to collect the sales tax or prove exempt use by the buyer.
- (e) No exemption certificates are required for sales in interstate commerce, however, proper records must be maintained to substantiate such sales.
- (f) An exemption certificate issued by a purchaser shall not be valid unless it is executed in the prescribed and approved form and unless all information requested on such form is completed.
- (g) An exemption certificate or other evidence supporting an exempt sale must be maintained by the seller for at least three (3) years after the due date of the tax return upon which such exempt transaction is reported.
- (h) Exemption certificates may be reproduced provided no change is made in the wording or content.

In this instance, the Department's audit noted that Taxpayer sells tangible personal property, including fuel, to local customers. Taxpayer thus is a retail merchant and should have collected and remitted the sales tax on its sales. Taxpayer did not do so. Nor did Taxpayer provide the properly executed exemption certificates, which it should have collected from its customers, to the Department's auditor during the audit. The auditor was therefore unable to verify whether certain sales were exempt from the sales tax.

Pursuant to 45 IAC 2.2-8-12(b), "Retail merchants are required to collect sales and use tax on each sale which constitutes a retail transaction unless the merchant can establish that the item purchased will be used for an exempt purpose." 45 IAC 2.2-8-12(d) also cautions that, "Unless the seller receives a properly completed exemption certificate the merchant must prove that sales tax was collected and remitted to the state or that the purchaser actually used the item for an exempt purpose. It is, therefore, very important for the seller to obtain an

DIN: 20141231-IR-045140497NRA

Indiana Register

exemption certificate in order to avoid the necessity for such proof." In the absence of the properly signed and executed exemption certificates, the Department's audit properly assessed sales tax on the otherwise taxable sales.

There is no question that Taxpayer entered into retail transactions for which - absent an exemption - Taxpayer was required to collect sales tax. Subsequent to the hearing, Taxpayer supplied special exemption certificates from its customers for certain of its sales, claiming that some of its customers were exempt from sales tax. Taxpayer believes that the Department's assessments were overstated. The Department, Audit Division, is requested to review the late-filed exemption certificates and to make whatever adjustments, which is deemed appropriate.

Taxpayer is reminded that sales tax becomes due at the time of the transaction; either the purchaser is exempt at the time of the transaction or it is not exempt. If the purchaser claims an exemption, the exemption certificate should be obtained at the time the transaction occurs otherwise the burden of proving the transaction was exempt becomes measurably more difficult.

FINDING

Taxpayer's protest is sustained subject to the results of the Department's supplemental audit review.

Posted: 12/31/2014 by Legislative Services Agency An httml version of this document.